UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ALESHIA MCMILLEN SULAYMO-BEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY,

Defendant.

22-CV-10097 (LTS)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is appearing *pro se*, brings this action under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983 and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d. She alleges that an employee at the bus terminal in Manhattan, which is operated by the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey (Port Authority), directed a racial slur at her.

By order dated December 8, 2022, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis*, that is, without prepayment of fees. For the reasons set forth below, the Court dismisses the complaint but grants Plaintiff leave to replead within 30 days of the date of this order.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court must dismiss an *in forma pauperis* complaint, or any portion of the complaint, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B); *see Livingston v. Adirondack Beverage Co.*, 141 F.3d 434, 437 (2d Cir. 1998). The Court must also dismiss a complaint when the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction of the claims raised. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470 F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). But the "special solicitude" in *pro se* cases, *id.* at 475 (citation omitted), has its limits – to state a claim, *pro se* pleadings still must comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.

Rule 8 requires a complaint to include enough facts to state a claim for relief "that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). A claim is facially plausible if the plaintiff pleads enough factual detail to allow the Court to draw the inference that the defendant is liable for the alleged misconduct. In reviewing the complaint, the Court must accept all well-pleaded factual allegations as true. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009). But it does not have to accept as true "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action," which are essentially just legal conclusions. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. After separating legal conclusions from well-pleaded factual allegations, the Court must determine whether those facts make it plausible – not merely possible – that the pleader is entitled to relief. *Id*.

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff Aleshia McMillen Sulaymu-Bey alleges the following facts. Late in the evening on Sunday, January 6, 2021, Plaintiff went to the Port Authority bus terminal in Manhattan, to take a bus home to Bloomfield, New Jersey. (ECF 2 at 1-2.) Plaintiff was hurrying to board the last bus for the evening and needed directions. (*Id.* at 8-9.) She asked an employee, "John Doe," who was a "starter worker in a neon green jacket" near gate 326, for directions. (*Id.* at 9.) John

Doe, who is not named as a defendant in this action, "seemed irritable" and did not want "to give help with directions." (*Id.*) He nevertheless followed Plaintiff as she boarded the bus.

At some point, a different individual, who was wearing a grayish blue jacket and appeared to be a New Jersey Transit worker, used "a racial slur." (*Id.*) When Plaintiff and her daughter boarded the bus at gate 308, she described the incident to the bus driver. Thereafter, Plaintiff "cried for weeks, months, and years of ongoing pain." (*Id.* at 10.)

She brings this suit under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983, and the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d. She sues the Port Authority, seeking compensatory and punitive damages.

DISCUSSION

A. Claims Under 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981, 1983

Plaintiff names as a defendant the Port Authority, which is an entity with the legal capacity to be sued. *See* N.Y. Unconsol. Laws § 7101; N.J. Stat. Ann. § 32:1-157. "Although the Port Authority, a bi-state agency, is not technically a municipality, courts have treated it as such and have analyzed claims against it under the standards governing municipal liability under § 1983." *Cumberbatch v. Port Auth. of N.Y. & N.J.*, ECF 1:03-CV-0749, 2006 WL 3543670, at *6 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 5, 2006) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted); *see Raysor v. Port Auth. of N.Y. & N.J.*, 768 F.2d 34, 38 (2d Cir. 1985).

When a plaintiff sues a municipality under Sections 1981 and 1983, it is not enough for the plaintiff to allege that one of its employees or agents engaged in some wrongdoing. The

¹ The Court also notes that the Port Authority does not enjoy Eleventh Amendment immunity. *Feeney v. Port Auth. Trans-Hudson Corp.*, 873 F.2d 628, 629-30, 633 (2d Cir. 1989), *aff'd*, 495 U.S. 299 (1990); *see also Hess v. Port Auth. Trans-Hudson Corp.*, 513 U.S. 30, 52 (1994).

plaintiff must show that the municipality itself caused the violation of the plaintiff's rights. *See Connick v. Thompson*, 563 U.S. 51, 60 (2011) ("A municipality or other local government may be liable under this section if the governmental body itself 'subjects' a person to a deprivation of rights or 'causes' a person 'to be subjected' to such deprivation.") (quoting *Monell v. Dep't of Soc. Servs. of City of New York*, 436 U.S. 658, 692 (1978)); *Cash v. Cnty. of Erie*, 654 F.3d 324, 333 (2d Cir. 2011). In other words, to state a Section 1981 or 1983 claim against a municipality, the plaintiff must allege facts showing (1) the existence of a municipal policy, custom, or practice, and (2) that the policy, custom, or practice caused the violation of the plaintiff's constitutional rights. *See Jones v. Town of East Haven*, 691 F.3d 72, 80 (2d Cir. 2012); *Bd. of Cnty. Comm'rs of Bryan Cnty. v. Brown*, 520 U.S. 397, 403 (1997) (internal citations omitted).

Plaintiff has not alleged any facts showing the existence of a Port Authority policy, custom, or practice that caused the violation of her constitutional rights. Plaintiff alleges that an employee used a racial slur that seemed to be directed to her. These allegations are insufficient to plead (1) that this incident was caused by Port Authority policy, custom, or practice; or (2) that her constitutional rights were violated. *See, e.g., Haussman v. Fergus*, 894 F. Supp. 142, 149 (S.D.N.Y. 1995) ("[T]he taunts, insults and racial slurs alleged to have been hurled at plaintiff by defendants, while reprehensible if true, do not comprise an infringement of constitutional guarantees."); *Ali v. Connick*, 136 F. Supp. 3d 270, 276 (E.D.N.Y. 2015) ("[V]erbal harassment alone does not amount to a constitutional deprivation."). Plaintiff's allegations are therefore insufficient to state a claim under Sections 1981 or 1983 against Defendant Port Authority.²

² Plaintiff seems to allege that the individual involved in this incident was employed by the New Jersey Transit Authority even though she has named the Port Authority as the only defendant in this action. The same analysis would nevertheless apply if the defendant were the New Jersey Transit Authority because it is also liable only for its own constitutional violations – not those of its employees.

B. Claims under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act

Title VI provides that "[n]o person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." 42 U.S.C. § 2000d. To state a claim under Title VI, a plaintiff must allege that (1) the defendant discriminated against her on the basis of race, color, or national origin; (2) the discrimination was intentional; and (3) the discrimination was a substantial and motivating factor for the defendant's actions. *See Tolbert v. Queens Coll.*, 242 F.3d 58, 69 (2d Cir. 2001).³

Plaintiff fails to allege that Defendant Port Authority engaged in intentional discrimination against her on the basis of her race, color, or national origin. She alleges that an individual used a racial slur but, as with Plaintiff's Section 1983 claim, she does not plead any facts suggesting that the individual's conduct can be imputed to the Port Authority because the conduct arises from a Port Authority policy, custom, or practice. Moreover, Title VI claims cannot be asserted against an individual defendant, such as, in this case, the person who made the racial slur, because the individual is not the recipient of federal funding. *See Goonewardena v. New York*, 475 F. Supp. 2d 310, 328 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 14, 2007). The Court therefore dismisses Plaintiff's Title VI claim against the Port Authority for failure to state a claim on which relief can be granted.

C. Leave to Amend

District courts generally grant a *pro se* plaintiff an opportunity to amend a complaint to cure its defects, but leave to amend is not required where it would be futile. *See Hill v. Curcione*,

³ Congress has abrogated the Eleventh Amendment immunity of states for violations of Title VI. 42 U.S.C. § 2000d-7(a)(1) ("A State shall not be immune under the Eleventh Amendment of the Constitution of the United States from suit in Federal court for a violation of . . . title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 . . .").

657 F.3d 116, 123–24 (2d Cir. 2011); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). It is unclear to the Court how the defect in Plaintiff's claim could be cured with an amendment, because there is nothing to suggest that the employee acted pursuant to an employer policy, custom, or practice. Nevertheless, in an abundance of caution, the Court directs the Clerk of Court to hold this matter open on the docket to allow Plaintiff 30 days' leave to amend her complaint to replead her allegations if she wishes to do so.

CONCLUSION

The Court dismisses Plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim on which relief can be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

The Court directs the Clerk of Court to hold this matter open on the docket to allow Plaintiff 30 days' leave to amend her complaint to replead her allegations to comply with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit within 30 days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and label the document with docket number 22-CV-10097 (LTS). An Amended Complaint form is attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to comply within the time allowed, and she cannot show good cause to excuse such failure, the complaint will be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore *in forma pauperis* status is denied for the purpose of an

appeal. *Cf. Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 3, 2023

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
Chief United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	_	
Write the full name of each plaintiff.	CV (Include case number if one has bee	
	assigned)	
-against-		
O	COMPLAINT	
	Do you want a jury trial? □ Yes □ No	
	_	
Write the full name of each defendant. If you need more space, please write "see attached" in the space above and attach an additional sheet of paper with the full list of names. The names listed above must be identical to those contained in Section II.	_	

NOTICE

The public can access electronic court files. For privacy and security reasons, papers filed with the court should therefore *not* contain: an individual's full social security number or full birth date; the full name of a person known to be a minor; or a complete financial account number. A filing may include *only*: the last four digits of a social security number; the year of an individual's birth; a minor's initials; and the last four digits of a financial account number. See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2.

I. BASIS FOR JURISDICTION

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation, and the amount in controversy is more than \$75,000, is a diversity case. In a diversity case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff.

What is the basis for federal-court jurisdiction in your case?
☐ Federal Question
☐ Diversity of Citizenship
A. If you checked Federal Question
Which of your federal constitutional or federal statutory rights have been violated?
B. If you checked Diversity of Citizenship
1. Citizenship of the parties
Of what State is each party a citizen?
The plaintiff ,, is a citizen of the State of (Plaintiff's name)
(Fidinal Shame)
(State in which the person resides and intends to remain.)
or, if not lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States, a citizen or subject of the foreign state of
If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach additional pages providing information for each additional plaintiff.

If the defendant is an individual:		
The defendant, (Defendant's name)		, is a citizen of the State of
or, if not lawfully admitted for permanen subject of the foreign state of		
If the defendant is a corporation:		'
The defendant,		is incorporated under the laws of
the State of		
and has its principal place of business in t		
or is incorporated under the laws of (forei	gn state)	
and has its principal place of business in		·
If more than one defendant is named in the cinformation for each additional defendant.	complaint, atta	nch additional pages providing
II. PARTIES		
A. Plaintiff Information		
Provide the following information for each poages if needed.	laintiff named	d in the complaint. Attach additional
First Name Middle Initial	Last I	Name
Street Address		
County, City	State	Zip Code
Telephone Number	Email Addres	ss (if available)

B. Defendant Information

To the best of your ability, provide addresses where each defendant may be served. If the correct information is not provided, it could delay or prevent service of the complaint on the defendant. Make sure that the defendants listed below are the same as those listed in the caption. Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant 1:					
	First Name	Last Name			
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)				
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)				
	County, City	State	Zip Code		
Defendant 2:					
	First Name	Last Name			
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)				
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)				
	County, City	State	Zip Code		
Defendant 3:					
	First Name	Last Name			
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)				
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)				
	County, City	State	Zip Code		

Defendant 4:				
	First Name	Last Name		
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)			
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)			
	County, City	State Zip Code		
III. STATEME	ENT OF CLAIM			
Place(s) of occur	rence:			
Date(s) of occurr	rence:			
FACTS:				
	at each defendant pe	port your case. Describe what happened, how you ersonally did or failed to do that harmed you. Attac		

INJURIES:
If you were injured as a result of these actions, describe your injuries and what medical treatment, if any, you required and received.
IV. RELIEF
State briefly what money damages or other relief you want the court to order.

V. PLAINTIFF'S CERTIFICATION AND WARNINGS

By signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that: (1) the complaint is not being presented for an improper purpose (such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation); (2) the claims are supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument to change existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

I agree to notify the Clerk's Office in writing of any changes to my mailing address. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Each Plaintiff must sign and date the complaint. Attach additional pages if necessary. If seeking to proceed without prepayment of fees, each plaintiff must also submit an IFP application.

Dated			Plaintiff's Signature
First Name	Middle Initial		Last Name
Street Address			
County, City	St	ate	Zip Code
Telephone Number		_	Email Address (if available)
I have read the Pro Se (I⊓ Yes □ No	Nonprisoner) Consent	t to I	Receive Documents Electronically:
	receive documents elec not consent, please do		nically, submit the completed form with your attach the form.



Pro Se (Nonprisoner) Consent to Receive Documents Electronically

Parties who are not represented by an attorney and are not currently incarcerated may choose to receive documents in their cases electronically (by e-mail) instead of by regular mail. Receiving documents by regular mail is still an option, but if you would rather receive them only electronically, you must do the following:

- 1. Sign up for a PACER login and password by contacting PACER¹ at www.pacer.uscourts.gov or 1-800-676-6856;
- 2. Complete and sign this form.

If you consent to receive documents electronically, you will receive a Notice of Electronic Filing by e-mail each time a document is filed in your case. After receiving the notice, you are permitted one "free look" at the document by clicking on the hyperlinked document number in the e-mail.² Once you click the hyperlink and access the document, you may not be able to access the document for free again. After 15 days, the hyperlink will no longer provide free access. Any time that the hyperlink is accessed after the first "free look" or the 15 days, you will be asked for a PACER login and may be charged to view the document. For this reason, you should print or save the document during the "free look" to avoid future charges.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Under Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Local Civil Rule 5.2, and the Court's Electronic Case Filing Rules & Instructions, documents may be served by electronic means. If you register for electronic service:

- 1. You will no longer receive documents in the mail;
- 2. If you do not view and download your documents during your "free look" and within 15 days of when the court sends the e-mail notice, you will be charged for looking at the documents;
- 3. This service does *not* allow you to electronically file your documents;
- 4. It will be your duty to regularly review the docket sheet of the case.³

¹ Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER) (www.pacer.uscourts.gov) is an electronic public access service that allows users to obtain case and docket information from federal appellate, district, and bankruptcy courts, and the PACER Case Locator over the internet.

 $^{^2}$ You must review the Court's actual order, decree, or judgment and not rely on the description in the email notice alone. See ECF Rule 4.3

³ The docket sheet is the official record of all filings in a case. You can view the docket sheet, including images of electronically filed documents, using PACER or you can use one of the public access computers available in the Clerk's Office at the Court.

CONSENT TO ELECTRONIC SERVICE

I hereby consent to receive electronic service of notices and documents in my case(s) listed below. I affirm that:

- 1. I have regular access to my e-mail account and to the internet and will check regularly for Notices of Electronic Filing;
- 2. I have established a PACER account;
- 3. I understand that electronic service is service under Rule 5 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 5.2 of the Local Civil Rules, and that I will no longer receive paper copies of case filings, including motions, decisions, orders, and other documents;
- 4. I will promptly notify the Court if there is any change in my personal data, such as name, address, or e-mail address, or if I wish to cancel this consent to electronic service;
- 5. I understand that I must regularly review the docket sheet of my case so that I do not miss a filing; and
- 6. I understand that this consent applies only to the cases listed below and that if I file additional cases in which I would like to receive electronic service of notices of documents, I must file consent forms for those cases.

Civil case(s) filed in the Southern District of New York:

your pendin		For each case, include the	this court, so please list all of case name and docket number
Name (Last, First, M	II)		
Address	City	State	Zip Code
Telephone Number		E-mail Address	
Date		Signature	

Return completed form to:

Pro Se Intake Unit (Room 200) 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007